

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,492	07/03/2001	Conly L. Hansen	PWATMM	2599	
22922	7590 02/13/2003				
REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C.			EXAMINER		
	A GABRIEL, DOCKET WATER STREET	ALEXANDER, REGINALD			
SUITE 2100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	/2	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_					
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/899,492	HANSEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761	I dragge			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence a	iaress			
	Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed ) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this (ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	<u>December 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠	•	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to t	he merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🖂	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 10-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	or					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. Occ or or the field in abeyance.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>06 December 2002</u> is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
11/63	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
1	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
i	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
ĺ	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisior	nal application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme							
1) 🔀 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper I ormal Patent Application (I	No(s) PTO-152)			
I							

Application/Control Number: 09/899,492

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klaassen.

There is disclosed in Klaassen a device for injecting liquid, the device comprising: a cylinder operated pump arrangement 25, 32, 33 adapted to receive an injecting liquid from a supply 27, and a head 4 having apertures 10 for nozzles, the head being in fluid communication with the pump arrangement. The pump arrangement is adjustable so as to provide varied pressure and spray velocity (col. 3, lines 61-66). Thus, the pump arrangement can be considered a "booster pump".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klaassen in view of Townsend.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/899,492

Art Unit: 1761

Townsend discloses that it is known in the art to provide an injection fluid filter 252 positioned at the inlet of a pump 256. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Klaassen with a filter as taught by Townsend, in order to filter the injection fluid.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 and 10-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 6, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It should be noted that the Examiner considers the hydraulic pump arrangement of Klaassen to be the equivalent of Applicants "booster pump" (see comments in rejection above).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-1594. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,492 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

rla February 6, 2003 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761